

|   |                                      |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/566,003 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>OOSTVEEN ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Trang U. Tran     | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2422                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Trang U. Tran.

(2) Mark V. Muller.

**Status of Application:** Amendment after Final Action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2010
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In claim 8, line 1, the phrase: "A method of synchronizing two or more signals" has been changed to --A computer-implemented method of synchronizing two or more signals--, to place this application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)